

IN THE DRAWINGS:

Concurrently filed herewith is a Submission of Replacement Formal Drawings that includes 4 sheets of drawings. Of these 4 sheets, FIG. 5 has been added, as required by the Examiner in the *Ex Parte Quayle* Action dated August 21, 2006.

REMARKS

Summary of the Office Action

The Drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) as not showing every feature of the invention specified in the claims.

The Specification is objected to as not being accompanied by description of the new drawings.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the indication that claims 1-8 are allowed.

Summary of the Response to the Office Action

Applicant has amended the specification, and submits herewith a Submission of Formal Replacement Drawings that includes one (1) new drawing FIG. 5.

Objection to the Specification

The Specification is objected to as not being accompanied by description of the new drawings. Accordingly, Applicant has amended the Specification include specific reference to new drawing FIG. 5, as well as specific references to each of the items shown in new drawing FIG. 5. Applicant respectfully asserts that the amendments to the Specification do not introduce new matter.

Thus, Applicant respectfully asserts that the Specification, as presently amended, includes specific descriptions of new drawing FIG. 5, as well as specific references to each of the items shown in new drawing FIG. 5. Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests that the objection to the Specification be withdrawn.

Objection to the Drawings

The Drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) as not showing every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits herewith a Submission of Formal Replacement Drawings that includes one (1) new drawing FIG. 5. Applicant respectfully asserts that new drawing FIG. 5 does not introduce new matter, as is fully supported by the originally-filed Specification.

Thus, Applicant respectfully asserts that new drawing FIG. 5 clearly shows every features of the invention specified in the claims, as required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.83(a). Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests that the objection to the Drawings under 37 C.F.R. § 1.83(a) be withdrawn.

Condition of Allowance

Applicant respectfully asserts that the present application, as a result of the amendments to the Specification and Submission of Formal Replacement Drawings filed currently herewith, is now in clear condition for allowance.

If the Examiner requires further changes, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to contact Applicant's undersigned representative to advance the present application to issuance of a Notice of Allowance.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and the timely allowance of the pending claims. Should the Examiner believe that there are any issues outstanding after consideration of this response, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicant's undersigned representative to expedite allowance.

If there are any other fees due in connection with the filing of this response, please charge the fees to our Deposit Account No. 50-0310. If a fee is required for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 not accounted for above, such an extension is requested and the fee should also be charged to our Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

By: _____



David B. Hardy
Reg. No. 47,362

Dated: August 30, 2006

Customer No. 009629
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004
202-739-3000